# ORIGINAL

# EXCEPTION



1 BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL 2 Arizona Corporation Commission COMMISSIONERS DOCKETED TOM FORESE - CHAIRMAN 3 2017 AUG -4 P 4: 26 **BOB BURNS** 4 2017 DOUG LITTLE AUG 4 ANDY TOBIN BOYD W. DUNN DOCKETED BY 5 60 6 DOCKET NO. E-01345A-16-0036 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF 7 ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY FOR A HEARING TO DETERMINE THE FAIR 8 VALUE OF THE UTILITY PROPERTY OF THE COMPANY FOR RATEMAKING PURPOSES, TO FIX A JUST AND REASONABLE RATE OF RETURN THEREON, TO APPROVE RATE 10 SCHEDULES DESIGNED TO DEVELOP SUCH 11 RETURN. 12 IN THE MATTER OF FUEL AND PURCHASED DOCKET NO. E-01345A-16-0123 POWER PROCUREMENT AUDITS FOR 13 ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY 14 15 16 17 18 19 ConservAmerica's Exceptions 20 August 3, 2017 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |

REP America d/b/a/ ConservAmerica ("ConservAmerica") respectfully submits these exceptions to the Recommended Opinion and Order ("ROO") filed on July 26, 2017 in this docket. ConservAmerica appreciates the extensive effort that went into preparing the ROO, and ConservAmerica generally supports the ROO, including the ROO's approval of the AZ Sun II program and the Settlement rate design. However, there are two areas where the ROO should be modified. First, specific language authorizing the deferral of SCR expenses for the Four Corners power plant should be added. Second, the funding for the increase in crisis bill assistance should be clarified.

### I. Expanding access to rooftop solar in Arizona.

The ROO correctly finds that the Settlement Agreement, which is supported by a broad array of parties, is in the public interest and should be approved. In this case, ConservAmerica has highlighted the inequities in how rooftop solar is currently deployed in Arizona. As ConservAmerica's Executive Director, Paul Walker, explained, solar suffers from a "reverse Robin Hood" problem. Indeed, 95% of rooftop solar installations are in the wealthiest 60% of American households. Mr. Walker demonstrated that the wealthiest neighborhoods in APS's service territory have a solar penetration rate of 2.99%, while the poorest neighborhoods are at only 0.82%. The AZ Sun II program, while limited in size, is a good start to providing better access to rooftop solar for low and moderate income Arizonans. Rooftop solar is one component of a balanced, zero emissions future for Arizona, and it is important that the subsidized benefits of rooftop solar are available to all, not just the wealthiest among us.

## II. Reforming rate design.

We are currently in an era of rapid change in the electric industry—perhaps the greatest rate of change since the early days of the industry. Rapid change is happening at

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Exhibit ConservAmerica-1, Direct Testimony of Paul Walker, December 22, 2016, at 9-14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Id. at 9:21-23.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Exhibit ConservAmerica-3, Testimony of Paul Walker, April 3, 2017 at 12:13 to 13:19.

both the utility level (e.g. rapid adoption of utility-scale solar and the beginning of utility-scale storage) and at the customer level (rooftop solar, energy efficiency, storage, electric vehicles). We used to bill based on kWh, because that was easy to measure, and most residential customers had similar patterns of use. But now customers are more diverse, and they use the grid differently, so rate designs must evolve to reflect these new realities. In particular, some customers use the grid extensively, but have little or no billed kWh. These customers tend to have the financial resources to afford rooftop solar, storage devices, and the like, allowing them to reduce or eliminate there billed kWh. A future where we continue to load costs onto the kWh component of the bill, while kWhs become concentrated in moderate and low income areas, is neither fair nor good ratemaking.

The ROO recognizes the reality of a changing grid, and it recommends approval<sup>4</sup> of the Settlement<sup>5</sup> rate design—a rate design crafted to respond to the changes in the grid. The Settlement promotes time-of-use<sup>6</sup> and demand designs<sup>7</sup> that will better match costs to charges, will promote costs savings and emissions reductions<sup>8</sup>, while also providing customers with many options to control their bill. Importantly, customers will have a number of rate plans to select from. Therefore, ConservAmerica strongly supports the ROO's rate design.

#### III. Four Corners Deferral.

ConservAmerica advocates for a zero emissions future. However, ConservAmerica recognizes the critical importance of the Four Corners plant to the economy of the Navajo Nation. ConservAmerica therefore supported the provisions of the Settlement Agreement regarding Selective Catalytic Reduction ("SCR") improvements at Four Corners.<sup>9</sup> These key improvements will provide environmental benefits while also allowing the plant to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See ROO, pages 46, 53 to 54 and 58-59.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Settlement Agreement, Sections 17.1 to 19.1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See Settlement Agreement, Section 17.4 and 17.8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See Settlement Agreement, Sections 17.5, 17.6 and 17.7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Exhibit ConservAmerica-3, Testimony of Paul Walker, April 3, 2017, at 4:1 to 5:7, 5:9 to 10:13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Settlement Agreement, Sections 9 to 9.5.

remain in operation. The ROO approves the provisions of the Settlement that allow for a rate adjustment for the addition of the SCR equipment. However, the ROO does not directly address Section 9.2 of the Settlement Agreement, which allows APS to defer certain SCR costs. Given that the ROO adopts the Settlement Agreement Agreement, ConservAmerica believes the intent of the ROO is to approve the deferral. However, it is likely that specific deferral language in an ordering paragraph will be needed for accounting purposes, similar to the ordering paragraph for the Ocotillo Modernization Project. Accordingly, ConservAmerica requests that one or more additional ordering paragraphs be added to provide for the Four Corners SCR deferral described in the Settlement Agreement.

#### IV. Crisis Bill Assistance.

The Settlement Agreement calls for an increase in \$1.25 million in crisis bill assistance. This increase is warranted. However, the ROO calls for the funds to be APS shareholder funds. ConservAmerica does not believe that was the intent of the Settlement Agreement. The Settlement Agreement did not refer to shareholder funds in describing to this funding increase. Instead, it is ConservAmerica's understanding that these funds were built into the revenue requirement. Because ConservAmerica committed to supporting the Settlement Agreement, ConservAmerica supports removing the language about shareholder funding of this program.

25 Settlement Agreement, Sections 9.1 and 9.3 ROO, page 101, lines 3 to 8.

<sup>12</sup> ROO, page 102, lines 1 to 8.

13 Settlement Agreement, Section 9.2

<sup>14</sup> Settlement Agreement, Section 29.3.

<sup>15</sup> Settlement Agreement, Section 29.3.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 4st day of August, 2017.

Snell & Wilmer, L.L.P.

Timothy J. Sabo
One Arizona Center
400 East Van Buren Street
Phoenix, Arizona 85004

Attorney for REP America d/b/a/ ConservAmerica

1	Original and 13 copies of the foregoing
2	filed this 4 <sup>th</sup> day of August 2017, with:
3	Docket Control Arizona Corporation Commission
4	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
5	Copies of the foregoing hand-delivered/mailed/e-mailed
6	this 4 <sup>th</sup> day of August, 2017, to:
7	Teena Jibilian, Administrative Law Judge
8	Hearing Division Arizona Corporation Commission
9	400 West Congress Tucson, Arizona 85701
10	Maureen Scott
11	Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission
12	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
13	Elijah Abinah, Director
14	Utilities Division Arizona Corporation Commission
15	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
16	legaldiv@azcc.gov JXHatch-miller@azcc.gov
17	tbroderick@azcc.gov
18	chains@azcc.gov wvancleve@azcc.gov
19	eabinah@azcc.gov tford@azcc.gov
20	evanepps@azcc.gov cfitzsimmons@azcc.gov kchristine@azcc.gov
21	mscott@azcc.gov Consented To Service By Email
22	
23	Thomas A. Loquvam Thomas L. Mumaw Melissa M. Krueger
24	Pinnacle West Capital Corporation P.O. Box 53999, MS 8695
25	Phoenix, AZ 85072 Thomas.loquvam@pinnaclewest.com
26	Thomas.mumaw@pinnaclewest.com
27	Melissa.kruegar@pinnaclewest.com Amanda.ho@pinnaclewest.com
	I .

1	Consented To Service by Email
2	Patrick Black
3	Fennemore Craig, PC 2394 East Camelback Road, Suite 600
4	Phoenix, Arizona 85016 pblack@fclaw.com
5	khiggins@energystrat.com Consented To Service By Email
6	Greg Eisert, Director
7	Steven Puck, Director Government Affairs
8	Sun City Homeowners Association gregeisert@gmail.com
9	steven.puck@cox.net Consented To Service By Email
10	Timothy M. Hogan
11	Arizona Center for Law in the Public Interest 202 E. McDowell Road, Suite 153 Phoenix, Arizona 85004
12	thogan@aclpi.org
13	ken.wilson@westernresources.org schlegelj@aol.com
14	ezuckerman@swenergy.org bbaatz@aceee.org
15	briana@votesolar.org cosuala@earthjustice.org
16	dbender@earthjustice.org cfitzgerrell@earthjustice.org
17	Consented To Service By Email
18	Meghan H. Grabel Osborn Maledon, PA 2929 North Central Avenue
19	Phoenix, Arizona 85012
20	mgrabel@omlaw.com gyaquinto@arizonaic.org
21	Consented To Service By Email
22	Patricia Ferre P.O. Box 433
23	Payson, Arizona 85547 pFerreact@mac.com
24	Consented To Service By Email
25	Richard Gayer 526 W. Wilshire Drive Phoenix, Arizona 85003
26	rgayer@cox.net
27	Consented To Service By Email

1	Warren Woodward
ر م	200 Sierra Road
2	Sedona, Arizona 86336
3	w6345789@yahoo.com
ا "	Consented To Service By Email
4	Anthony L. Wanger
	Alan L. Kierman
5	Brittany L. DeLorenzo
	IO Data Centers, LLC
6	615 North 48 <sup>th</sup> Street
	Phoenix, Arizona 85008
7	
	Craig A. Marks
8	Craig A. Marks, PLC
	10645 N. Tatum Blvd., Suite 200-676
9	Phoenix, Arizona 85028
	craig.marks@azbar.org
10	pat.quinn47474@gmail.com
11	Consented to Service by Email
11	Albert Commonst
12	Albert Gervenack Rob Robbins
12	Sun City West Property Owners & Residents Association
13	13815 Camino Del Sol
	Sun City West, Arizona 85375
14	Al.gervenack@porascw.org
	Rob.robbins@porasew.org
15	Consented to Service by Email
	2 22 47
16	Tom Harris, Chairman
.,	AriSEIA
17	2122 W. Lone Cactus Dr., Suite 2
18	Phoenix, AZ 85027
10	Tom.harris@ariseia.org
19	Consented to Service by Email
	Cynthia Zwick
20	Arizona Community Action Association
-	2700 North 3 <sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 3040
21	Phoenix, Arizona 85004
21.2	czwick@azcaa.org
22	khengehold@azcaa.org
	Consented To Service By Email
23	
	Daniel W. Pozefsky
24	Chief Counsel
ا ء	Residential Utility Consumer Office
25	1110 West Washington, Suite 220
26	Phoenix, AZ 85007
20	

1	Jason Y. Moyes
2	Jay I. Moyes Moyes Sellers & Hendricks
3	1850 N. Central Ave., Suite 1100 Phoenix, Arizona 85004
4	jasonmoyes@law-msh.com jim@harcuvar.com
5	jimoyes@law-msh.com Consented To Service By Email
6	Kurt J. Boehm
7	Jody Kyler Cohn Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 26 F. Savanth Street, Swite 1510
8	36 E. Seventh Street, Suite 1510 Cincinnati, OH 45202
9	John William Moore, Jr.
10	7321 North 16 <sup>th</sup> Street Phoenix, AZ 85020
11	Charles Wesselhoft, Deputy County Attorney
12	Pima County Attorneys' Office 32 North Stone Ave., Suite 2100
13	Tucson, Arizona 85701 Charles.Wesselhoft@pcao.pima.gov
14	Consented To Service By Email
15	Giancarlo G. Estrada Kamper Estrada, LLP
16	3030 N. 3 <sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 770 Phoenix, AZ 85012
17	gestrada@lawphx.com kfox@kfwlaw.com
18	kcrandall@eq-research.com Consented To Service By Email
19	Court S. Rich
20	Rose Law Group PC 7144 E. Stetson Dr., Suite 300
21	Scottsdale, AZ 85251 <u>crich@roselawgroup.com</u> hslaughter@roselawgroup.com
22	Consented To Service By Email
23	Scott Wakefield
24	Hienton & Curry, PLLC 5045 N 12th Street, Suite 110
25	Phoenix, Arizona 85014-3302 swakefield@hclawgroup.com
26	mlougee@hclawgroup.com stephen.chriss@wal-mart.com
27	greg.tillman@wal-mart.com chris.hendrix@wal-mart.com
	Consented To Service By Email

1	Lawrence V. Robertson, Jr. 210 W. Continental Road, Suite 216A
2	Green Valley, Arizona 85622 tubaclawyer@aol.com
3	Consented To Service By Email
4	Nicholas J. Enoch
5	Kaitlyn A. Redfield-Ortiz Emily A. Tornabene
6	Lubin & Enoch, PC 349 North Fourth Avenue
7	Phoenix, Arizona 85003
8	Greg Patterson Munger Chadwick
9	916 West Adams, Suite 3 Phoenix, Arizona 85007
10	Albert H. Acken
11	Sheryl A. Sweeney Samuel L. Lofland
12	Ryley Carlock & Applewhite One N. Central Avenue, Suite 1200
13	Phoenix, AZ 85004 aacken@rcalaw.com
14	ssweeney@rcalaw.com slofland@rcalaw.com
15	jjw@krsaline.com Consented To Service By Email
16	Thomas A. Jernigan Karen White
17	Ebony Payton
18	Federal Executives Agencies U.S. Airforce Utility Law Field Support Center
19	139 Barnes Dr., Suite 1 Tyndall Air Force Base, Florida 32403
20	Thomas.jernigan.3@us.af.mil Ebony.payton.ctr@us.af.mil
21	Andrew.unsicker@us.af.mil Lanny.zieman.1@us.af.mil
22	Natalie.cepak.2@us.af.mil Consented To Service By Email
23	John B. Coffman
24	John B. Coffman, LLC 871 Tuxedo Blvd.
25	St. Louis, Missouri 83119
26	

١ ١	Ann-Marie Anderson
2	Wright Welker & Pauole, PLC 10429 South 51 <sup>st</sup> Street, Suite 285
3	Phoenix, AZ 85004 anderson@wwpfirm.com
4	sjenning@aarp.org aallen@wwpfirm.com Consented To Service By Email
5	
6	Robert L. Pickels, Jr. Sedona City Attorney's Office
7	102 Roadrunner Dr. Sedona, Arizona 86336
8	rpickles@sedonaaz.gov Consented To Service By Email
9	Garry D. Hays
10	Law Offices of Garry D. Hays 2198 E. Camelback Rd., Suite 305
11	Phoenix, Arizona 85016 ghays@lawgdh.com
12	Consented To Service By Email
13	Thomas E. Stewart Granite Creek Power & Gas LLC
14	Granite Creek Farms LLC 5316 E. Voltaire Ave.
15	Scottsdale, AZ 85254 tom@gcfaz.com
16	Consented To Service By Email
17	Dennis M. Fitzgibbons Fitzgibbons Law Offices, PLC
18	115 E. Cottonwood Lane, Suite 150 P.O. Box 11208
19	Casa Grande, AZ 85130 denis@fitzgibbonslaw.com
20	Consented To Service By Email
21	
22	- 11 1 11/1-
22 23	By 4844-6738-8236
24	
25	
26	